$\langle \rangle$

Awaiting login

Please complete login in the window. If you don't see it, check the popup wasn't blocked by your browser.

Restart

Introduction (Pencil Simulation Study)

- SoLID detector responses include,
 - Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECal)
 - Cherenkov Detector
 - Scintillators
 - Gas Electron Multipliers
- The Pencil Beam simulates thin beams of e- (11), pi- (-211), and gamma photons (22), shot through the center of the detector set-up.

Detector Signal: ECal

- ECal signals include PreShower, Shower modules.
- PreShower are 2cm thick scintillators (followed by 2X_0 of lead).
- Pre/Shower modules include a top, left, and right triangular pattern.
- Primary response of an Ecal signal analysis is locating the Minimially-Ionzing Particle (MIP) peaks.



ECal Signals

• ECal Analysis by Darren shows MIP peaks at 2.8 MeV in PreShower and 37.5 MeV in the Shower.



Cherenkov Detector Signals

- When a charge particle passes through a Cherenkov Detector, they emit Cherenkov light. Photo-multiplier tubes would measure the number of photo-electrons (N_PE) from a charged particle.
- pi^-,+ should be zero (excluding δ-electrons) in N_PE since we are using CO2, while pi^0 can decay into two gammas which can produce e[^]- e[^]+ pairs,



Scintillators Signals

- MIP peaks can be seen in Scintillators (SC_{A,B,C}) and Large Angle Scintillating Pad Detector (LASPD)
- SC_B is placed behind the ECal so pions create signals (high energy e leaking through the ECal).
- LASPD is useful for gamma-rejection (?)
- Multiple MIP peaks can be correlated to different decay chains that produce multiple particle final states.

Table of Pencil Simulation "Features"

<u>Column (Variable Name)</u>	What It Measures?	<u>ECal Analysis</u>	Cherenkov Analysis	Scintillator Analysis
vx,vy,vz	Particle Velocity			
px,py,pz,p	Particle Momentum		Npesum	
pid	True PID			
PreShP	Pre Shower Momentum ?			
	Pre Shower Momentum			
PreShP_e	Energy?			
PreShPx,PreShPy,PreShPz	Pre Shower Momentum			
PreShTheta	?			
PreSh_Sum, PreSh_l, PreSh_r, PreSh_t	Pre Shower Energy Deposit	<mark>Hist</mark>		
Shower_l,Shower_r,Shower_t,Shower_S				
um	Shower Energy Deposit	<mark>Hist</mark>		
SC_*_Endsum	Scintillators Energy Deposit			Hist
SPD_P,SPD_Eendsum	?			
LASPD_Endsum	LASPD Energy Deposit			Hist
Npesum	Number of Photoelectrons		Hist, NCh, P	
Theta			Npesum	
	Number of Triggered			
NCh	Channels?			
				Depending on decay channel or multiple particle final state, we
			varying leatures in Npesum	can see multiple MIP
			show varving neaks	correlate to the number
		Pencil Sim showed	depending on decay channel	of MIP neaks
		MIP peaks 2.8, 37.5	Npe vs NCh is another space	depending on the
Notes		MeV for Pre/Shower	to look at.	decay process

Replication of Pencil Beam - Cherenkov Study

- I tried reproducing the pencil cher. results by
 - Selecting p, Npesum, NCh columns for pi^-, e.
 - Without any cuts to momentum.
 - Training Darren's model based on those columns.
 - Looking at Loss/Accuracy vs Epoch, Confusion Matrix, and Location of Mislabeled particles in Npesum histogram.

Dist. Of Pencil Data



Model Metrics

 Trained over 50 Epochs without any early stopping conditions to see accuracy/loss plateau. (When adding early stopping, we stop at the 7th epoch and recover some true probability)



Confusion Matrix



Comparing Predicted PIDs vs True PIDs



Location of Mislabeled PIDs





Electron/Pion Prediction Fraction



Summary (June 24)

- Pencil Beam Analysis of Cherenkov ML (Done-ish)
 - I need to figure out to plot nu_e vs nu_pi^- (relevant metric).
 - Do a combined classification with the ECal by including relevant ECal Columns (Basically recreate the Baseline):
 - Cher columns are: Npesum, NCh, p
 - ECal columns are: PreShSum, PreSh_*, ShowerSum, Shower_*
- Baseline model on Full Simulation (Potential Next Step?)