

#### Stephen Kay University of Regina

### 26/08/19

- $\bullet\,$  In addition to the  $\pi$  and K analysis, can also analyse proton events in the data
- Similar motivation to the work Bill did, *u* channel is largely ignored
- Want to try and get some initial results before May next year, in time for a workshop Bill is organising
- As a start, need to check PID of proton events and see if there are any issues

## u-Channel Events



26/08/19

3 / 17

An example u-channel process, here we have backward angle  $\omega$  production. [1]

[1] - W.Li. PhD Thesis, University of Regina 2017

- Of course, other neutral mesons can be produced
- Numerous physics channels to examine
- Ratios of various neutral meson production channels at different  $\epsilon$  values e.g.  $\phi$  to  $\omega$  ratio
- $\omega L/T$  ratios as a function of -u with the eventual aim of separated cross sections where possible

26/08/19

- $Q^{-n}$  dependence of  $\omega L/T/LT/TT$  cross sections
- For now though, need a clean sample!

## Selection Cuts

Stephen Kay

• Want events with  $e^-$  in HMS and p in SHMS

University of Regina

• Various cuts in both detectors used for all events before PID,

Table: Common cuts before PID, events *not* in the range shown are removed.

Cut	HMS	SHMS
δ	<  8	$-10 < \delta < 20$
θ	<  0.08	<  0.06
$\phi$	<  0.045	<  0.04
E <sub>TotNorm</sub>	> 0.7	N/a
HMS Cer NPE	> 1.5	N/a

26/08/19

5 / 17

## PID Cuts - Detectors

Stephen Kay

- PID is by detector hits, namely the Aerogel and HGC
- $\bullet\,$  Requirement for a 'hit' in the detector is that there is  $> 1.5\,$  NPE for the event
- Hit combinations for  $\pi$ , K and p are summarised below

Table: Hit combinations in the HGC and Aerogel for each hadron species

Hadron	HGC Hit	Aerogel Hit
$\pi$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
K	×	$\checkmark$
p	×	×

26/08/19

6 / 17

University of Regina

## Timing Cuts and Other Comments

- Timing cuts depend upon the kinematic setting, too many to list
- All are done so that *six* random buckets are selected for random subtraction
- Due to the way *t* and *u* are defined in hcana, when we select protons, *t* is actually *u* and vice versa!
- hcana defines them as follows

$$MandelT = (PQ - fX).M2()$$
$$MandelU = (PQ - fB).M2()$$

- $PQ \rightarrow q$  Vector,  $fX \rightarrow 4$  momentum of secondary particle,  $fB \rightarrow 4$  momentum of undetected recoil
- Assumes secondary particle is the one being detected, not the recoil

26/08/19

7 / 17

## $Q^2=3, W=2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - Timing



Coincidence time as a function of  $\beta$  for protons. Note that  $\beta$  is slightly low implying hodoscope calibration is probably off.

26/08/19

8

#### University of Regina

Stephen Kay

## $Q^2 = 3, W = 2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - PID

NPE in SHMS Aerogel and Heavy Gas



26/08/19

9

17

NPE in Aerogel Cherenkov vs NPE in HGC for all events. Red lines illustrate 1.5 NPE cuts.

## $Q^2 = 3, W = 2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - $MM_{\rho}$



Missing mass for proton events, random background subtracted.

Stephen Kay University of Regina

26/08/19 10 / 17

## $Q^2 = 3, W = 2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - $Q^2(W)$

≥ <sub>3.4</sub> 90 80 3.2 70 3 60 2.8 2.6 50 2.4 40 2.2 30 2 20 1.8 10 1.6 4.5 Q<sup>2</sup> 1.5 2.5 3.5 3 4

Q<sup>2</sup> vs W

 $Q^2(W)$  'diamond' plot.

#### Stephen Kay University of Regina

26/08/19 11 /

17

## $Q^2 = 3, W = 2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ - Comments

- From timing plot,  $\beta$  looks off
- $\eta'$  very hard to make out, large physics background or need to clean up PID more?

26/08/19

12 / 17

- However, not seeing any "extra" strange peaks anywhere... for this setting!
- Do on other settings as we will see

## $Q^2 = 3, W = 3.14$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - $MM_p$



Missing mass for proton events, random background subtracted. Note the peak at  ${\sim}850~\text{MeV}$  which does not correspond to any real flavourless meson.

26/08/19

13

17

## $Q^2=3, W=2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - $MM_K$



Kaon Missing mass with Cuts (Random Subtracted)

Missing mass for kaon events. Note the peak at  $\sim 0.9 \ GeVc^{-2}$ .

#### Stephen Kay University of Regina

26/08/19 14 / 17

# $Q^2=3, W=2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - HGC X/Y

- Where are the events in these extra peaks passing through the focal plane?
- $\bullet\,$  Look at "kaon" events where the missing mass is  $0.7 < MM_K < 0.9$



Projection of HGC NPE as a function of X and Y position in the HGC. Only "kaon" events with 0.7  $< MM_K < 0.9$  are plotted.

26/08/19

15 / 17

# $Q^2 = 3, W = 2.32$ Centre, High $\epsilon$ Plots - $MM_P$ for Miss-ID'd Kaons

• What does the proton missing mass value look like for these events?



 $MM_p$  distribution for "kaon" events with  $MM_K$  that does not correspond to a physical state. The peak shown is at  $\sim 0.77 GeVc^{-2}$ .

26/08/19

16 / 17

# Concluding Thoughts

- Would be naive to conclude that events shown are definitely proton events where we have an  $\omega$  produced
- Gives a hint in the direction we need to go though, should try to remove background and add further PID cuts where possible
- Add a shower/preshower cut?
- Can easily process all events again and timing windows look OK for now
- Need to focus on kinematics that do not look so good
- Will assess each kinematic individually and sort into good/bad categories

26/08/19

17 / 17